

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed, and reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

#### **CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 103(a)**

Claims 1-7 and 9-16 were originally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Laine (US-4,304,405) and Bialler et al. (US-6,478,299).

However, the Examiner has pointed out that claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Responsive to this, claim 8 is deleted, and claim 1 is amended which is substantially the combination of original claims 1 and 8 so as to make the claimed invention more distinguishably patentable over the prior art references cited by the Examiner.

Accordingly, by the amendments, it is believed that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) should be withdrawn, and the amended claim 1 should be allowable.

It is further submitted that the amended claims 2-7 and 9-16 should be allowable as they are dependent upon the amended claim 1 which is believed to be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Feng - Yi Tien

Applicant

Feng-Yi Tien

58, MA YUAN WEST ST.,

TAICHUNG, TAIWAN

Nov. 25, 2004